

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/644,060	08/20/2003	Brad W. Blumberg	SMTR-002/01US 4358			
22903 7590 03/16/2007 COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP			EXAMINER			
ATTN: PATE	ATTN: PATENT GROUP			FIGUEROA, MARISOL		
Suite 500 1200 - 19th Street, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2402			2617			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
	•		03/16/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/644,060	BLUMBERG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Marisol Figueroa	2617	

	Marisol Figueroa	2617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 15 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:         <ol> <li>The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b)</li> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mug date of the final rejection.  Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one in the final rejection, wh	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause				
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	` ,				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11 and 18-23</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
•							

Application/Control Number: 10/644,060

Art Unit: 2617

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments filed on 2/15/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues (see pages 7-8 of the remarks) that there would have been no motivation to combine the mobile terminal location feature of the Kimoto system with the system of Wharton, because,

Wharton expressly provides for a system that <u>can only be used</u> without being present at the geographic location

being queried, since for example, Wharton discloses that the invention is aimed at reducing the necessity of a

prospective buyer from having to travel to a property to find out information about that property (for example, col.

4, lines 42-46)".

However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. Wharton's system is not only concentrated in reducing

the time the user spends traveling to homes for a first-time look. Wharton discloses in column 4, lines 42-46,

other aspects of his invention are aimed to reducing database browsing, comparison of multiple selection criteria,

reducing human interaction, etc. and therefore does not limit the invention to only being used without being

present at the geographic location.

Furthermore, Wharton suggests that the wireless communication device (i.e., PDA) may support a

transportation application like global positioning data to obtain instructions for how to get to an address from a

current location and does not discourage using the location of the mobile terminal to tailor the search for real

estate properties.

LESTER G. KINCAID

Page 2

SUPERVISORY PRIMARY MYATO